

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,296	11/25/2003	Mary Beth Boyette		4133
75	90 04/05/2005		EXAM	INER
MARY BETH BOYETTE			FIDEI, DAVID	
174 E DEER TRACK DR WETUMPKA, AL 36093			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728	
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/720,296	BOYETTE, MARY BETH
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David T. Fidei	3728
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY STATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicator of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dayor of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stion. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	ı .	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	·	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 20 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	03 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fa a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	🗖	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/720,296

Art Unit: 3728

Specification

1. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout and content for patent applications. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Arrangement of the Specification

2. The specification lacks all of the applicable title headings and preferred order of arrangement. The following order or arrangement is preferred in framing the specification content and titles where applicable:

Application/Control Number: 10/720,296 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

- (a) Title of the Invention.
- (b) Cross-Reference to Related Applications.
- (c) Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research or Development.
- (d) Reference to a "Sequence Listing," a table, or a computer program listing appendix submitted on compact disc (see 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5)).
- (e) Background of the Invention.
 - 1. Field of the Invention.
 - 2. Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention.
- (g) Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s).
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention.
- (i) Claim or Claims (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (i) Abstract of the Disclosure (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) Drawings.
- (I) Sequence Listing, if on paper (see 37 CFR 1.821-1.825).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-6 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure, which goes to make up the device, must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,296 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gregg (Patent no. Des. 369,675). A handle is shown in figures 1 and 2 comprising a means for securing the outer perimeter which is a double stitching shown by the dotted lines along the length of the handle. A means for joining the handle to the holder is shown by the dotted lines representing stitching attaching the handle to the bag. This is shown in figure 2 as both a double horizontal dotted stitch line and a X-pattern stitch line underneath the parallel horizontal stitches.

As to claim 4, the knotted handle of Gregg appears to be sufficient size to wrap over the holder, the stitched seam structure discussed relative to claim 3.

- 7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Henderson (Patent no. 1, 400,499). A handle is shown in figures 1 and 2 comprising a means for securing the outer perimeter which is a double stitching shown by the dotted lines along the length of the handle at 9 and 12. A means for joining the handle to the holder is shown by the dotted lines representing stitching attaching the handle to the holder. This is shown by the double stitching at portions 6, 8, 10 and 11.
- 8. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burton (Patent no. 746,0130. As to claim 1, compartment of sufficient size are shown and described as formed by means to support comprising horizontal stitched seams 2.

As to claim 5, the compartments are of a size for receiving mail. Mail envelopes, letters or other matter is considered of a size for standard eyeglasses. Hence the compartments of Burton meet

Application/Control Number: 10/720,296

Art Unit: 3728

this limitation of claim 6. Also, the compartments are separated, and fastened together by horizontal stitched seams 2, each sealed from the other side of the adjoining compartment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burton (Patent no. 746,013) in view of Greaves (Patent no. 2,979,098). Burton discloses flexible material comprising means 4 to attach and close top and bottom material and fold for closure, see page 1, lines 53-56. The difference between the claimed subject matter and Burton resides in means for securing the outer perimeter of said material.

In figures 2 and 3, Greaves discloses pockets having edges at 12, 18 and 23 having means for securing that includes doubling of material and stitching. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Burton by constructing means for securing the outer perimeter of said material as suggested by Greaves in order to reinforce the ends against wear.

As to claim 2, Burton discloses the claimed invention except for the flexible material made of vinyl. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ any conventional material such as vinyl, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,296 Page 6

Art Unit: 3728

REPLY BY APPLICANT OR PATENT OWNER TO THIS OFFICE ACTION

11. "In order to be entitled to reconsideration or further examination, the applicant or patent owner must reply to every ground of objection and rejection in this Office action. The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. The applicant 's or patent owner 's reply must appear throughout to be a bona fide attempt to advance the application or the reexamination proceeding to final action. A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section.

The reply must be reduced to writing (emphasis added)", see 37 CFR 1.111 (b) & (c), M.P.E.P. 714.02.

Pointing out specific distinctions means clearly indicating in the written response what features/elements or distinctions have been added to the claim/claims, where support is found in the specification for such recitations and how these features are not shown, taught, obvious or inherent in the prior art.

If no amendments are made to claims as applicant or patent owner believes the claims are patentable without further modification, the reply must distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the examiner 's action and must respond to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office Action in the same vain as given above, 37 CFR 1.111 (b) & (c), M.P.E.P. 714.02.

The examiner also points out, due to the change in practice as affecting final rejections, older decisions on questions of prematureness of final rejection or admission of subsequent amendments do not necessarily reflect present practice. "Under present practice, second or any subsequent actions on the merits shall be final, except where the examiner introduces a new ground of rejection that is neither necessitated by applicant's amendment of the claims nor based on information submitted in an information disclosure statement filed during the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.97(c)" (emphasis mine), see MPEP 706.07(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/720,296

Art Unit: 3728

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David T. Fidei whose telephone number is (571) 272-4553. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David 1. Fidei Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

dtf April 4, 2005